

Partial Least Squares

A new statistical insight through orthogonal polynomials.



Mélanie Blazère
Institut de mathématiques de Toulouse
University Paul Sabatier



Work supervised by Fabrice Gamboa and Jean-Michel Loubes



Outline

- 1 Introduction and outline of the presentation
- 2 Framework
- 3 Presentation of the PLS method
- 4 Link with orthogonal polynomials
- 5 New expression for the residuals
- 6 PLS statistical properties
- 7 Conclusion



Overall framework

- **Linear regression model**

$$Y = X\beta^* + \varepsilon$$



Overall framework

• Linear regression model

$$Y = X\beta^* + \varepsilon$$

where

- $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the response.
- $X = (X_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times p}$ is the design matrix.
- $\beta^* = (\beta_1^*, \dots, \beta_p^*)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the target parameter vector.
- $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are unobservable i.i.d random variables which capture the noise.



Overall framework

● Linear regression model

$$Y = X\beta^* + \varepsilon$$

where

- $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the response.
 - $X = (X_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times p}$ is the design matrix.
 - $\beta^* = (\beta_1^*, \dots, \beta_p^*)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the target parameter vector.
 - $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are unobservable i.i.d random variables which capture the noise.
- ## ● Notation and assumptions
- We allow $p > n$.
 - We denote by r the rank of $X^T X$.
- ## ● Goal :
- to estimate
- β^*
- for future prediction.



A useful tool : Singular Value Decomposition

- SVD of X given by

$$X = UDV^T$$

where

- $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{M}_{n,n}$ and $U^T U = U U^T = I$.
- $V = (v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \mathbb{M}_{p,p}$ and $V^T V = V V^T = I$.
- $D \in \mathbb{M}_{n,p}$ contains $(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_r})$ on the diagonal and zero anywhere else.



A useful tool : Singular Value Decomposition

- **SVD of X** given by

$$X = UDV^T$$

where

- $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{M}_{n,n}$ and $U^T U = U U^T = I$.
- $V = (v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \mathbb{M}_{p,p}$ and $V^T V = V V^T = I$.
- $D \in \mathbb{M}_{n,p}$ contains $(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_r})$ on the diagonal and zero anywhere else.

- **Assumptions**

We assume that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$.

- **Notations**

Two important quantities :

- $p_i = (X\beta^*)^T u_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$
- $\hat{p}_i = Y^T u_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$



Limits of the OLS

- **Ordinary least squares**

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\hat{p}_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i.$$

- **Limits** when some covariates are **nearly collinear**, some λ_i are small
 - ⇒ **high variance** of the estimator
 - ⇒ **unstability** and **unaccurate predictions**.
- **Solution** : **regularization** of the LS solution to decrease the variance.
 - ⇒ **penalization method** (Ridge, Lasso,...)
 - ⇒ **dimension reduction method** (PCR, PLS,..)



What is PLS ?

- **Main idea behind PLS**


- ▶ **The PLS method** at step k (where $k \leq r$) consists in finding $(w_l)_{1 \leq l \leq k}$ that maximize

$$[\text{Cov}(Y, Xw_l)]^2 = \text{Var}(Y)\text{Var}(Xw_l)\text{Cor}(Y, Xw_l)$$

under the constraints

- $\|w_l\|^2 = 1$
 - $t_l = Xw_l$ is orthogonal to t_1, \dots, t_{l-1} .
- ▶ **Field of application** : biomedecines, chemical engineering...

Some references

 **Helland** (2001), Some theoretical aspects of partial least squares regression , *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory systems*, 58,97–107.

 **Rosipal R. and Kramer N.** (2006), Overview and recent advances in partial least squares, *Subspace, Latent Structure and Feature selection*, 34–51, Springer.



PLS estimator and link with Krylov subspaces

- **Linear regression of Y onto t_1, \dots, t_k**

Define W_K the matrix whose columns are the $(w_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$.

The PLS estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_K^{PLS} = W_K(W_K^T \Sigma W_K)^{-1} W_K^T X^T Y$$

- **Link with Krylov subspaces**

Link with Krylov subspaces

$$\text{Span} \{w_1, \dots, w_K\} = \text{Span} \{X^T Y, (X^T X)X^T Y, \dots, (X^T X)^{K-1}X^T Y\}.$$

The space spanned by $X^T Y, (X^T X)X^T Y, \dots, (X^T X)^{K-1}X^T Y$ is called the K^{th} **Krylov subspace** with respect to $X^T X$ and $X^T Y$



PLS = LS on Krylov subspaces

- **PLS is the minimization of least squares over some Krylov subspaces.**

Link between PLS and Krylov subspaces [Helland]

Proposition :

$$\hat{\beta}_k^{PLS} = \underset{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^k(X^T X, X^T Y)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - X\beta\|^2$$

where $\mathcal{K}^k(X^T X, X^T Y) = \{X^T Y, (X^T X)X^T Y, \dots, (X^T X)^{k-1}X^T Y\}$.



PLS= LS on Krylov subspaces

- **PLS is the minimization of least squares over some Krylov subspaces.**

Link between PLS and Krylov subspaces [Helland]

Proposition :

$$\hat{\beta}_k^{PLS} = \underset{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^k(X^T X, X^T Y)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - X\beta\|^2$$

where $\mathcal{K}^k(X^T X, X^T Y) = \{X^T Y, (X^T X)X^T Y, \dots, (X^T X)^{k-1}X^T Y\}$.

- **Be careful : the constraints are random !**
 - ❖ Contrary to PCR, the PLS linear constraints are **random**.


Some references

📖 **Helland I.S.** (1988), On the structure of partial least squares regression, *Communication in statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 17, 581-607.



Link with orthogonal polynomials

Minimization over polynomials

 Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M. (2014), PLS : a new statistical insight through the prism of orthogonal polynomials, *arXiv preprint* , arXiv :1405.5900.

- **Notation** : $\mathcal{P}_k = \mathbb{R}_k[X]$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{k,1} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_k; P(0) = 1\}$.
- **Another point of view**

Optimization over polynomial spaces

Proposition : For $k \leq r$ we have $\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{P}_k(X^T X)X^T Y$ where

$$\hat{P}_k \in \underset{P \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - XP(X^T X)X^T Y\|^2$$


and $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 = \|\hat{Q}_k(XX^T)Y\|^2$ where

$$\hat{Q}_k(t) = 1 - t\hat{P}_k(t) \in \underset{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{k,1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Q(XX^T)Y\|^2.$$



Link with orthogonal polynomials

Minimization over polynomials

 Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M. (2014), PLS : a new statistical insight through the prism of orthogonal polynomials, *arXiv preprint* , arXiv :1405.5900.

- **Notation** : $\mathcal{P}_k = \mathbb{R}_k[X]$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{k,1} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_k; P(0) = 1\}$.
- **Another point of view**

Optimization over polynomial spaces

Proposition : For $k \leq r$ we have $\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{P}_k(X^T X)X^T Y$ where

$$\hat{P}_k \in \underset{P \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - XP(X^T X)X^T Y\|^2$$

and $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 = \|\hat{Q}_k(XX^T)Y\|^2$ where

$$\hat{Q}_k(t) = 1 - t\hat{P}_k(t) \in \underset{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{k,1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Q(XX^T)Y\|^2.$$

- **PLS= regularization** by **polynomials approximation**



Link with orthogonal polynomials

Minimization over polynomials

Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M. (2014), PLS : a new statistical insight through the prism of orthogonal polynomials, *arXiv preprint* , arXiv :1405.5900.

- **Notation** : $\mathcal{P}_k = \mathbb{R}_k[X]$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{k,1} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_k; P(0) = 1\}$.
- **Another point of view**

Optimization over polynomial spaces

Proposition : For $k \leq r$ we have $\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{P}_k(X^T X)X^T Y$ where

$$\hat{P}_k \in \underset{P \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Y - XP(X^T X)X^T Y\|^2$$

and $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 = \|\hat{Q}_k(XX^T)Y\|^2$ where

$$\hat{Q}_k(t) = 1 - t\hat{P}_k(t) \in \underset{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{k,1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|Q(XX^T)Y\|^2.$$

- **PLS= regularization** by **polynomials approximation**
- **Key idea= Cayley-Hamilton theorem** PLS : an insight through orthogonal polynomials



Link with orthogonal polynomials

The residuals polynomials

● Definition

The polynomials \hat{Q}_k are called the **residual polynomials**.

● Interest of the residual polynomials

Most PLS objects can be written in terms of the residual polynomials.

Dependance of the PLS objects on the residual polynomials

- $$\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{P}_k(X^T X)X^T Y = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)\right) \frac{\hat{p}_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i.$$

⇒ PLS estimator = **shrinkage estimator** with **filter factor** = $1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)$
- $$X\hat{\beta}_k = (I - \hat{Q}_k(XX^T))Y = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)\right) \hat{p}_i u_i.$$
- $$Y - X\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{Q}_k(XX^T)Y = \sum_{i=1}^r \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i) \hat{p}_i u_i + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r = n \\ \sum_{i=r+1}^n \hat{p}_i^2 & \text{if } r < n \end{cases}.$$

Link with orthogonal polynomials



Residual polynomials = Discrete orthogonal polynomials

- Discrete measure associated to $(\hat{Q}_k)_{1 \leq k \leq r}$

Discrete orthogonal polynomials

Proposition :

$\hat{Q}_0 := 1, \hat{Q}_1, \dots, \hat{Q}_r$ is a sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the measure

$$d\hat{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \hat{\rho}_i^2 \delta_{\lambda_i},$$

where we recall that $\hat{\rho}_i := u_i^T Y$.



New expression for the residuals

Main result

- An explicit analytical expression**

Let $k \leq r$ and $I_k^+ = \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) : r \geq j_1 > \dots > j_k \geq 1\}$.

Expression for the residuals polynomials

$$\hat{Q}_k(x) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in I_k^+} \hat{w}_{(j_1, \dots, j_k)} \prod_{l=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda_{j_l}}\right).$$

where

Definition of the weights

$$\hat{w}_{j_1, \dots, j_k} := \frac{\hat{\rho}_{j_1}^2 \dots \hat{\rho}_{j_k}^2 \lambda_{j_1}^2 \dots \lambda_{j_k}^2 V(\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k})^2}{\sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in I_k^+} \hat{\rho}_{j_1}^2 \dots \hat{\rho}_{j_k}^2 \lambda_{j_1}^2 \dots \lambda_{j_k}^2 V(\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k})^2}.$$

with $V(\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k}) = \text{Vandermonde determinant of } \lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k}$
and $\hat{\rho}_{j_k} = Y^T u_{j_k}$.



New expression for the residuals

A new insight on PLS

$$\hat{Q}_k(x) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in I_k^+} \hat{W}_{(j_1, \dots, j_k)} \prod_{l=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda_{j_l}}\right)$$

● Interest

- Expression depends explicitly on **the observations noise** and on **the eigenelements of X**
- Contains all the information.

● Weights

Notice that $0 < \hat{W}_{(j_1, \dots, j_k)} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in I_k^+} \hat{W}_{(j_1, \dots, j_k)} = 1$.
Be careful : the weights are **random**

● Interpretation

Residual polynomial $\hat{Q}_k =$ **convex combinaison** of all the polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_{k,1}$ whose roots are subsets of $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$.



Upper bound for the empirical risk

An upper bound for the empirical risk

$$\|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^r \left[\prod_{l=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 \hat{\rho}_i^2 \right] + \sum_{i=r+1}^n \hat{\rho}_i^2.$$

Notice that if $\frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_k} > 1 - \delta$ then $\sum_{i=k+1}^r \left[\prod_{l=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_i}\right)^2 \hat{\rho}_i^2 \right] \leq \delta \sum_{i=k+1}^r \hat{\rho}_i^2$.

In particular, $\|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^n \hat{\rho}_i^2 := \|Y - X\hat{\beta}_{PCR}^k\|^2$.

Corollary

Let $(\varepsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be i.i.d centered random variables with common variance σ^2 .

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^{2k} \left[\sum_{i=k+1}^r \lambda_i (\beta_i^*)^2 + (r-k)\sigma^2 \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=r+1}^n (\lambda_i (\beta_i^*)^2 + \sigma^2)$$



A new insight onto the PLS filter factors

PLS= **SHRINKAGE ESTIMATOR**

$$\hat{\beta}_k = \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)) \frac{\hat{p}_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i.$$

- **New expression for the PLS filter factor**

$$f_i^{(k)} := 1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in I_k^+} \hat{w}_{(j_1, \dots, j_k)} \left[1 - \prod_{l=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{j_l}} \right) \right]$$

- **Interest**


It clearly and explicitly shows how the filter factors depend on the error terms and on the eigenelements of X .

We easily recover that

- The PLS filter factors are not always in $[0, 1]$.
- They oscillate below and above one.



Mean Square Prediction Error

 Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M. (2014), A unified framework for the study of the PLS estimator's properties, *arXiv preprint*, arXiv :1411.0229.

● Definition

The Mean Square Prediction Error (MSPE) is defined by

$$MSPE(\hat{\beta}_k) := \mathbb{E} \left[\| X(\beta^* - \hat{\beta}_k) \|^2 \right].$$

- **Question :** Is the PLS factors not in $[0, 1]$ a problem ?
- **Answer :**

Decomposition of the MSPE

$$\| X\beta^* - X\hat{\beta}_k \|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i) p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)) \varepsilon_i^2.$$

⇒ A filter factor larger than one not necessarily implies an increase of the MSE

PLS statistical properties



PLS always shrinks for some specific directions

- PLS shrinks OLS in some of the eigenvectors directions but also **expands** in others.
- However PLS **globally shrinks** the OLS i.e. $\|\hat{\beta}_{k-1}\|^2 \leq \|\hat{\beta}_k\|^2 \leq \|\hat{\beta}_{LS}\|^2$.
- For all $0 \leq l \leq r$, let $\hat{s}_l = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \hat{Q}_l(\lambda_i) \hat{p}_i v_i$. We have

$$\hat{\beta}_{LS} = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \hat{Q}_l(\lambda_i) \hat{p}_i^2 \right) \frac{\hat{s}_l}{\|\hat{s}_l\|^2}$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_k = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (\hat{Q}_l(\lambda_i) - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)) \hat{p}_i^2 \right) \frac{\hat{s}_l}{\|\hat{s}_l\|^2}.$$

But

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r (\hat{Q}_l(\lambda_i) - \hat{Q}_k(\lambda_i)) \hat{p}_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \hat{Q}_l(\lambda_i) \hat{p}_i^2.$$

► PLS **always shrinks the OLS in the \hat{s}_l directions.**



Conclusion

- We have proposed a **new approach** to study PLS
- We have established **exact analytical expressions** for the main PLS objects (filter factors, empirical risk, MSPE)
- This approach is useful to provide new interpretations, to shed lights on the behaviour of PLS and to **prove important properties** of the PLS
- This approach provides a **unified framework** to recover well known properties of the PLS estimator
- But this is not the end of the road.
The expression of the residuals should be explored further to completely understand the PLS method.

Thank you for your
attention



References

- [1] **Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M.** (2014), PLS : a new statistical insight through the prism of orthogonal polynomials, *arXiv preprint* , arXiv :1405.5900.
- [2] **Blazere, M., Gamboa, F., Loubes, J. M.** (2014), A unified framework for the study of the PLS estimator's properties, *arXiv preprint* , arXiv :1411.0229.
- [3] **Butler N.A and Denham M.C** (2000), The peculiar shrinkage properties of partial least squares , *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society : Series B*, 62(3),585-593.
- [6] **Helland** (2001), Some theoretical aspects of partial least squares regression , *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory systems*, 58,97-107.
- [7] **Kramer N.** (2007), An overview on the shrinkage properties in partial least squares, *Subspace, Latent Structure and Feature selection*, 34-51, Springer.
- [8] **Lingjaerde O.C and Christophersen N.** (2000), Shrinkage structure of partial least squares , *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 27,249-273.
- [10] **Phatak A. and de Hoog F.** (2002), Exploiting the connections between pls, lanczos methods and conjugate gradients, *Journal of Chemometrics*, 16(7), 361-367.
- [11] **Rosipal R. and Kramer N.** (2006), Overview and recent advances in partial least squares, *Subspace, Latent Structure and Feature selection*, 34-51,